IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA CENTRAL DIVISION

ANNE DAVIDSON,

Plaintiff,

Nos. C 05-3037-MWB

VS.

KINSETH HOSPITALITY CORP., and its subsidiary, KINSETH HOTEL CORP., and FRANK SMILLIE, Individually and in his Corporate Capacity,

Defendants.

VERDICT FORM

On the claims of plaintiff Anne Davidson, we, the Jury, find as follows:

HOSTILE ENVIRONMENT SEXUAL HARASSMENT		
Step 1: Verdict	On Ms. Davidson's claim of hostile environment sexual harassment, as explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 3, in whose favor do you find? (If you find in favor of Ms. Davidson on this claim, then consider what damages, if any, she has proved on this claim in Step 2. However, if you find in favor of the defendants, then do not consider any more Steps in this section of the Verdict Form. Instead, go on to consider your verdict on Ms. Davidson's sexual discrimination claim.	
_	Ms. Davidson	The defendants
Step 2: Compensatory Damages	If you found in favor of Ms. Davidson in Step 1, what amount, if any, do you award for the following items of "compensatory damages" on this claim, as such damages are explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 7?	
	\$ for past emotional distress	
	\$ for future emotional distress	
	\$ for backpay	

Step 3: Punitive Damages	you award for "punitive damages" explained in Final Jury Instruction damages, if any, can only be awarded	son in Step 1, what amount, if any, do on this claim, as such damages are on No. 8? (Remember that punitive dagainst defendants Kinseth Hospitality pration, but not against defendant Frank edamages		
SEXUAL DISCRIMINATION				
Step 1: Verdict	On Ms. Davidson's claim of "sexual discrimination," as explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 4, in whose favor do you find? (If you find in favor of Ms. Davidson on this claim, please go on to consider the question in Step 2. However, if you find in favor of the defendants, then do not consider any further Steps in this section of the Verdict Form. Instead, go on to consider your verdict on Ms. Davidson's "retaliation" claim.)			
	Ms. Davidson	The defendants		
Step 2; "Same Decision" Defense	Do you find that the defendants have proved their "same decision" defense to this claim, as explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 4, beginning on page 30? (If your answer is "no," then consider your verdict on damages on this claim in Steps 3 and 4. However, if your answer is "yes," then you cannot award damages on this claim, because Ms. Davidson is only entitled to certain relief from the court. Therefore, if your answer is "yes," do not consider Steps 3 and 4 for this claim. Instead, go on to consider your verdict on Ms. Davidson's "retaliation" claim.)			
	No	Yes		
Step 3: Compensatory Damages	If you found in favor of Ms. Davidson on this claim in Step 1, and answered "no" to the question in Step 2, what amount, if any, do you award for the following items of "compensatory damages" on this claim, as such damages are explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 7?			
	\$ for past emotional distress			
	\$ for future emotional distress			
	\$ for backpa	y		

Step 4: Punitive Damages	If you found in favor of Ms. Davidson on this claim in Step 1, and answered "no" to the question in Step 2, what amount, if any, do you award for "punitive damages" on this claim, as such damages are explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 8? (Remember that punitive damages, if any, can only be awarded against defendants Kinseth Hospitality Corporation and Kinseth Hotel Corporation, but not against defendant Frank Smillie.)			
	\$ for punitive	e damages		
RETALIATION				
Step 1: Verdict	On Ms. Davidson's claim of retaliation, as explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 5, in whose favor do you find? (If you find in favor of Ms. Davidson on this claim, please go on to consider the question in Step 2. However, if you find in favor of the defendants, then do not consider any further Steps in this section of the Verdict Form. Instead, please notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict.)			
	✓ Ms. Davidson	The defendants		
Step 2: "Same Decision" Defense	Do you find that the defendants have proved their "same decision" defense to this claim, as explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 5, beginning on page 33? (If your answer is "no," then consider your verdict on damages on this claim in Steps 3 and 4. However, if your answer is "yes," then you cannot award damages on this claim, because Ms. Davidson is only entitled to certain relief from the court. Therefore, if your answer is "yes," do not consider Steps 3 and 4 for this claim. Instead, please notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict.)			
	No	Yes		
Step 3: Compensatory Damages	If you found in favor of Ms. Davidson on this claim in Step 1, and answered "no" to the question in Step 2, what amount, if any, do you award for the following items of "compensatory damages" on this claim, as such damages are explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 7?			
	\$ for past emotional distress			
	\$ for future emotional distress			
	\$ for backpa	y		

Step 4: Punitive Damages	If you found in favor of Ms. Davidson on this claim in Step 1, and answered "no" to the question in Step 2, what amount, if any, do you award for "punitive damages" on this claim, as such damages are explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 8? (Remember that punitive damages, if any, can only be awarded against defendants Kinseth Hospitality Corporation and Kinseth
	Hotel Corporation, but not against defendant Frank Smillie.)
	\$ for punitive damages

Date: 12-14-06 Time: 12:53